

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES  
2  
3  
4

5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 JERARDO RODRIGUEZ, )  
9 v. )  
10 SOHI HEMIT, et al., )  
11 Defendants. )  
12 \_\_\_\_\_)

8 Plaintiff, ) Case No. C16-778-RAJ  
9 v. )  
10 SOHI HEMIT, et al., )  
11 Defendants. )  
12 \_\_\_\_\_)

13 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration. Dkt.  
14 # 61. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will be granted only upon a "showing  
15 of manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal authority which could not have  
16 been brought to [the court's] attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Local R. W.D.  
17 Wash. LCR 7(h)(1).

18 In his motion, Plaintiff reiterates the arguments and concerns he expressed in his  
19 motion to compel. Dkt. # 46. He does not raise new arguments offer new evidence.  
20 Accordingly, the Court DENIES the motion for reconsideration. Dkt. # 61.

21 Dated this 6th day of April, 2018.

22   
23

The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
United States District Judge